

APPROVED BY DRAFTSMAN	O. G. FIG. CLASS	SUBCLASS
-----------------------------	---------------------	----------





Fig. 10




Fig. 1

APPROVED BY DRAFTSMAN	O.G. FIG. CLASS	SUBCLASS
-----------------------------	--------------------	----------

1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

1022220-29617660

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	
DRAFTSMAN	



101220 29677660




Fig. 4

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN	

1

FIGURE FIFTEEN




Fig. 5A




Fig. 5

100-220-2200




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8

P

T002200000000000000





Fig. 9




Fig. 11



APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN	



Fig. 12



100-220-2967760

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN	

FIGURE 20th EDITION




Fig. 13




Fig. 14

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS
DRAFTSMAN	SUBCLASS





Fig. 15



APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS
DRAFTSMAN	SUBCLASS

Comparison Of Pull Forces Needed to Drill a 50000 ft. Lateral
Using Either Composite or Steel Coil Tubing
Under Different Buoyancy Conditions




FIG. 17